Professional Project Proposal Evaluation Form

For Faculty Use

ļ	Aspects of Evaluation	Deficient	Somewhat Deficient	Acceptable	Good	Very good
1.	. Knowledge of field of study	Insufficient knowledge of literature relevant to area of research	Familiar with and/or has cited some key literature, but clearly needs to read more	Familiar with and/or has cited most key literature relevant to area of research	Demonstrates a thorough review of the key literature relevant to area of research	 Demonstrates a thorough review of key literature relevant to area of research. Demonstration of awareness of literature beyond immediate area of study.
2	. Gaps in the field of study	Not mentioned	Mentioned using general and vague terms	Identified, described in specific terms	Identified, described and provide key literature supporting the identification	Types of gap identified and supported with literature Gaps clearly explained (e.g., inadequacy in theory, evidence, and/or methodology).
3	. Need for the proposed research	Not mentioned No policy or planning relevance	Mentioned using general and vague terms General or vague policy/planning relevance	Identified, described in specific terms for planning/policy relevance	 Identified, described and Provide key literature supporting the identification of planning/policy relevance 	 Described in specific terms. Explained using both intellectual terms and practical reasons (e.g., policy and planning relevant)
4	. Research questions or objectives	Not mentioned	Mentioned using broad terms (e.g., mostly conceptual)	Identified, described in specific terms.	 Clearly described; Questions allowing the researcher to take an arguable position, with low level of ambiguity 	 Clearly described; Can lead the researcher to take a clear stand on identifying the answers, Helps define elements in analysis.
5	. Contribution to field of study	Difficult to find originality. Proposal is more aligned with an undergrad project	Difficult to find originality or a low level of planning relevance	Demonstrates some originality Some limited contributions to planning practices	 Original and creative At least one important contribution to planning practices 	 Original and creative Several important contributions for a master's project and to planning practices

6. Methodology					
Type of research (overall research design)	Methods as described are too vague or inadequate in meeting research objectives Unaware of suitable methods	Methods as described may be adequate Vaguely aware of suitable methods	Described and justification of the choice provided Demonstrate awareness of suitable methods	Described and justification provided; Be able to use literature to support the choice; Demonstrate training or experience in carrying out the proposed method	Described and justification provided; Provide comparison of the proposed methods with the methodology used in literature; Conducted preliminary study demonstrating suitability of methods
Data to be used	Data to be used or collected are vaguely described Unaware of suitable data collection methods or suitable data to use	Data as described may be adequate Vaguely aware of suitable methods to collect data or uses existing data	Choice of data described and justification provided Demonstrate awareness of suitable resources	Described and justification provided; be able to use literature to support the choice.	Described and justification provided; Provide comparison of the proposed data and measurements with the data/measurements used in literature; Preliminary study Conducted demonstrating suitability of methods.
Analysis plan or strategy	Analytical methods to be used are vaguely described Unaware of suitable analytical approaches and methods	Analytical methods as described may be adequate Vaguely aware of suitable analytical methods	Choice of analytical methods described and justification provided Demonstrate awareness of suitable methods	Described and provided justification; Be able to use literature and theory to support the choice. Demonstrate training or experience in carrying out the proposed analytical methods	Comparison provided of the proposed method with the methodology used in literature; Be able to use theory to guide analysis; Conducted preliminary study demonstrating suitability of methods (or methods are realistic)
7. Proposal quality	Unorganized Lack of flow in logic Unable to answer many questions writing of poor quality	Proposal requires some reorganization Some rambling, too much space spent on less important aspects Distracting typos and errors	Clear writing address competently most questions at the proposal stage	Professional presentation Most questions knowledgeably addressed Demonstration of command of materials	Well organized and professional; Most research design-related issues addressed knowledgably; Shows confidence and strong knowledge of materials

8. Proposal (Design) readiness

- Many important aspects of the project are unclear
- Not ready to begin the research
- Deficient in many areas but shows potential
- Proposed research may be difficult to implement
- Proposal is clear
- Student has the required skill carry out the research
- Proposal is of high quality
- Student has the require skill to complete the research and has the potential to generate publishable results
- Proposal is of very high quality
- Research is already in process
- Without doubt student will successfully complete the research and generate publishable results with impacts on the field of study